EXAMINATION NOTE

Review of the Adopted Chelmsford Local Plan

Integrated Impact Assessment

SD008 - Notice of Errata

As part of preparation for the Examination, it has come to the Council's attention that there are four drafting errors within Examination Document **SD008** Pre-Submission IIA, as follows:

1. Paragraph 5.6.2 (pp.139-140) should read as follows:

   5.6.2 The site allocations identified in each Growth Area include Strategic Growth Sites and Growth Sites depending on scale (Special Policy Areas relating to particular existing establishments in the countryside are also designated and are assessed separately in Section 5.7). All of the proposed site allocations contained within the Growth Areas have been subject to IIA as part of the preparation of this report using the tailored appraisal criteria and associated thresholds of significance contained in Appendix E. ~~Additionally, reasonable alternatives considered by the Council in developing the Pre-Submission Consultation document have also been subject to appraisal using the same criteria.~~ Additionally, reasonable alternative growth options comprising different combinations of sites considered by the Council in developing the Pre-Submission Consultation document have also been subject to appraisal using the same criteria in the tables at pp.188-203.

2. Paragraph 5.6.3 (p.140) should read as follows:

   *5.6.3 **Appendix G** appraises the proposed sites which meet the proposed strategy, using the proposed mitigation within those strategic policies. ~~The findings of the appraisal of both the proposed site allocations and reasonable alternatives (including clusters) are presented in **Appendix G** and summarised by Growth Area. It should be noted that this appraisal does not take into account the provisions of the associated site allocation policies contained in Section 7 of the Pre-Submission Consultation Document, nor the mitigation provided by the other proposed Local Plan policies. This is to ensure that all sites are considered equally (the site-specific policies within Section 7 are considered separately in Section 5.7).~~*

3. In **Appendix G** of the Pre-Submission document (**SD008**), the reasons for the rejection of alternative sites have been omitted in error. These should have been carried forward from **Appendix G** of the Preferred Options document (**IIA005**) set out in pp.515-527.

4. In **Appendix G** of the Pre-Submission document (SD008), the introductory text to the Appendix (p.482) should read as follows:

   "This appendix presents the assessment of the proposed site allocations associated with each growth area. Summaries of these assessments are presented in Section 5 of the Main Report (Table 5.8, Table 5.9 and Table 5.10). These assessments ~~do not~~ take into account the provisions of the associated site allocation policies contained in Section 7 of the Preferred Options Consultation Document and ~~nor~~ the mitigation provided by the other proposed Local Plan policies. The growth site policies do not repeat the requirements of other development management policies, for example, they do not list the key infrastructure needed to support the delivery of the Local Plan as set out in Strategic Policy S9 – Infrastructure Requirements and Strategic Policy S10 – Securing Infrastructure and Impact mitigation. These and other policies relating to development management provide the framework that the growth policies are intended to act within. Where particularly relevant, certain development management policies have been identified within the appraisal text."