

**SOUTH ESSEX PARKING PARTNERSHIP
(TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDERS) SUB COMMITTEE**

TUESDAY 1ST NOVEMBER 2022 – 10.30AM

AGENDA ITEM 6

Subject	THE ESSEX COUNTY COUNCIL (CHELMSFORD CITY) (PROHIBITION OF WAITING, LOADING AND STOPPING) AND (ON-STREET PARKING PLACES) (CIVIL ENFORCEMENT AREA) (AMENDMENT NO.29) ORDER 202*
	Relating to Roslings Close and Chignal Road, Chelmsford
Report by	South Essex Parking Partnership Manager

Enquiries Contact
 Nick Binder - South Essex Parking Partnership Manager
 01245 606303 / nick.binder@chelmsford.gov.uk

Purpose
 To report the receipt of representations made on part of The Essex County Council (Chelmsford City) (Prohibition of Waiting, Loading and Stopping) and (On-Street Parking Places) (Civil Enforcement Area) (Amendment No.29) Order 202*

Options
 The Joint Committee has the following options available:

1. to agree that the proposed Order be made as advertised.
2. to agree that the proposed Order be made subject to modifications which result in less restrictive provisions or reduced scope; or
3. to agree that the proposed Order should not be made.

Recommendation(s)

1. The Order be made as advertised.
2. The people making representations be advised accordingly.

Consulters	South Essex Parking Partnership
-------------------	---------------------------------

Policies and Strategies
 The report takes into account the South Essex Parking Partnership Document setting out how the SEPP will deal with requests for parking restrictions requiring TROs.

1.	<u>Background</u> The purpose of this proposed Order is to amend The Essex County Council (Chelmsford City) (Prohibition of Waiting, Loading and Stopping) and (On-Street Parking Places) (Civil Enforcement Area) Consolidation Order 2019 as set out below:
-----------	---

1.1	On 27 July 2020, the SEPP received a completed application form from Cllr Davidson requesting 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions (Double Yellow Lines) on the junction of Roslings Close and Chignal Road. The request is to prevent obstructive parking and maintain access and visibility on the junction.
1.2	Chignal Road is a busy PR2 route and Roslings Close is a residential road. The applicant stated that vehicles are parking close to the junction creating problematic and unsafe movement. Rule 243 of the Highway Code states ' DO NOT stop or park... opposite or within 10 metres (32 feet) of a junction'. Vehicles parking on or near to the junction create problematic and unsafe movement of vehicles as well as access and egress problems.
1.3	It has been agreed with the SEPP Joint Committee Member and Lead Officer for Chelmsford to cost a scheme to propose that 10 metres of 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions should be introduced on the junction; in line with rule 243 of the Highway Code. The cost of the scheme is estimated at £2,000 but will be reduced if incorporated with other roads in Chelmsford to publish one Traffic Regulation Order.
1.4	The request was placed before the South Essex Parking Partnership Joint Committee on 13 th December 2021 for funding. It was agreed at the meeting to proceed with the necessary Traffic Regulation Order.
1.5	The Order was originally published in the Essex Chronicle and on site on 18 th August 2022, and copies of the Draft Order were sent to a number of organisations including Essex Police, Essex County Council (the highway authority), Essex Fire & Rescue Service, Essex Ambulance Service, the Road Haulage Association, the Freight Transport Association, and the Chamber of Commerce and Industry.
1.6	When the Order was published on 18 th August 2022 a 21-day period of formal public consultation commenced.
2	<u>Comments</u>
2.1	The details of the representations are summarised in Appendix 2 to this report together with the comments of the Technicians.
3	<u>Conclusion</u>
3.1	Although the correspondents have made a number of points which lead them to believe the Order should not be pursued in whole or part, the SEPP Joint Committee Member, Lead Officer and Technicians consider that none of them are of sufficient weight to warrant the Order not being made.
<u>List of Appendices</u>	
Appendix 1 – List of people making representations	
Appendix 2 – Summary of objections or support and Technicians comments	


APPENDIX 1

Ref	List of people making representations	Type
1.	Online submission from resident of Homefield Close dated 21/08/2022	Objection
2.	Online submission from resident of Chignal Road dated 21/08/2022	Objection
3.	Email from resident of Roslings Close dated 31/08/2022	Support
4.	Email dated 09/09/2022	Support

APPENDIX 2

**REPRESENTATIONS & RESPONSES FOLLOWING FORMAL ADVERTISEMENT
18th AUGUST – 9th SEPTEMBER 2022**

Representations & Responses relating to Roslings Close and Chignal Road, Chelmsford		
Ref	Representation	Technician Response
1.	<p>I have read and understood the SEPP policy on implementing new parking restrictions.: Yes</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██</p> <p>██ Homefield Close</p> <p>██</p> <p>██</p> <p>██</p> <p>██</p> <p>Describe the issues being faced and the causes of the problem: Proposed double-yellow lines at junction of Roslings Close and Chignal Rd not long enough on the south-southeastward side of Roslings Close to allow viewing of incoming traffic turning in or out of Homefield Close. Vans from residents park at the corner including the lowered wheelchair crossing!!</p> <p>Type of restriction you are requesting: Double yellow line</p> <p>Days/times of operation: Permanently.</p>	<p>Objection noted.</p> <p>Respondee advised that their suggestion for restrictions on Homefield Close is outside the remit of this scheme. Requests suggesting additional restrictions to what has been proposed would require the scheme to be re-advertised. To consider a request for parking restrictions on the junction of Homefield Close our online application form would need to be completed.</p> <p>Respondee was also requested to clarify if they supported or objected to the proposed restrictions on the junction of Roslings Close and Chignal Road. No response was received therefore they were advised that their comment would be logged as an objection as their initial comments were not in full support of the proposal.</p>

	<p>Please write full details of your solution, giving as much detail as possible on how your proposal will resolve the issues. You may include a detailed sketch or plan: Double-yellow lines from entrance of Roslings Close to entrance of Homefield Close.</p> <p>Describe how your request meets the SEPP's policy criteria: Suggestion given by letter sent by SEPP at Civic Centre, CM1 1JE about Roslings Close/Chignal Rd Junction Safety.</p> <p>Completed petition (including names, addresses and signatures): Download</p> <p>Which Councillors are in support of your proposal (you must include the ward(s) and town(s)?: North Chelmsford/Lee Ashley/ Ann Davidson/Stephen Robinson</p>	
<p>2.</p>	<p>Regarding Scheme: SEPP_Chelmsford Street name: CHIGNAL ROAD</p>  <p>Comment type: Object Comment: A waste of resources. In my years of living on chignal road I have not seen people park there. It would be more useful to cut back the hedge along this stretch for people to use the pavement, rather than walking in the road.</p>	<p>Objection noted.</p> <p>Concerns have been raised regarding obstructive and dangerous parking on the junction of Osea Way and Havengore. Vehicles parking on or near to the junction create problematic and unsafe movement of vehicles as well as access and egress problems. Therefore 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions have been proposed on this junction. This will improve sight lines for all road users at the junction, better facilitate the passage of traffic and enforce Rule 243 of the Highway Code.</p>
<p>3.</p>	<p><u>Email 1:</u></p> <p>To the traffic reg committee,</p> <p>I am delighted that you propose to add double yellow lines to the above junction. Increasing numbers of cars park there while visiting Lawford Mead school and houses on Kennet. This makes it very difficult to</p>	<p>Support noted.</p>

	<p>enter and exit the junction safely. Also the residents of numbers [REDACTED] have started parking their despite having adequate parking at the back of their houses. This adds to the danger. It might be worth contemplating extending the double yellows beyond that proposed?</p> <p>best wishes,</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p><u>Email 2:</u></p> <p>I support the scheme.</p>	<p>Requests suggesting additional restrictions to what has been proposed would require the scheme to be re-advertised.</p>
<p>4.</p>	<p><u>Email 1:</u></p> <p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I am writing to support the above planned double-yellow lines proposal at the junction of Roslings Close/Chignal Road.</p> <p>For some time now that junction has also been used for parking by people who do not live on Roslings Close. The presence of cars narrows the road, making it inconvenient and dangerous for residents turning into Roslings Close.</p> <p>For this reason I suggest that you extend the double yellow lines on the right hand side of the road (in front of house numbers 2 and 4) up to the junction with Homefield Close. This will ensure that the short area in question is always clear and safe. This move will prevent non-resident drivers from parking on this short stretch of road and will not inconvenience residents of house numbers 2 and 4 as they have parking and garaging at the back of their houses. It would also improve the ease with which numbers 1 and 3 can access/reverse from their drives. These cars have to reverse from their drive onto the near pavement as the parked cars opposite do not leave enough exit room.</p> <p>Thank you for your help.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p><u>Email 2:</u></p>	<p>Support noted.</p> <p>Requests suggesting additional restrictions to what has been proposed would require the scheme to be re-advertised.</p>

	<p>Thank you for your email.</p>	
--	----------------------------------	--

To clarify, I am in support of this proposal.

Many thanks.

██████████