

SOUTH ESSEX PARKING PARTNERSHIP SUB COMMITTEE		
WEDNESDAY 1st NOVEMBER 2023 – 2.00PM		
AGENDA ITEM 12		
Subject	THE ESSEX COUNTY COUNCIL (BRENTWOOD BOROUGH) (PROHIBITION OF WAITING, LOADING AND STOPPING) AND (ON- STREET PARKING PLACES) (CIVIL ENFORCEMENT AREA) (AMENDMENT No.22) ORDER 202*	
	Relating to Roman Road and Roman Close, Mountnessing.	
Report by	South Essex Parking Partnership Manager	
Enquiries contact: Nick Pinder, South Essey Parking Partnership Manager		

**Enquiries contact:** Nick Binder, South Essex Parking Partnership Manager, 01245 606303, nick.binder@chelmsford.gov.uk

### Purpose

To report the receipt of representations made on part of.

THE ESSEX COUNTY COUNCIL (BRENTWOOD BOROUGH)

(PROHIBITION OF WAITING, LOADING AND STOPPING) AND (ON-STREET PARKING PLACES) (CIVIL ENFORCEMENT AREA) (AMENDMENT NO. 22) ORDER 202\*

### **Options**

The Joint Committee has the following options available:

- 1. to agree that the proposed Order be made as advertised.
- 2. to agree that the proposed Order be made subject to modifications which result in less restrictive provisions or reduced scope.
- 3. to agree that the proposed Order be withdrawn in its entirety.

## Recommendation(s)

- 1. The Order be made as advertised.
- The people that made representations during the 21-day formal consultation be advised accordingly.

Consulters South Essex Parking Partnership

## Policies and Strategies

The report takes into account the South Essex Parking Partnership Document setting out how the SEPP will deal with requests for parking restrictions requiring TROs.

1	Background		
•	The purpose of this Order is to vary The Essex County Council (Brentwood		
1.1	Borough) (Prohibition of Waiting, Loading and Stopping) and (On-Street Parking Places) (Civil Enforcement Area) Consolidation Order 2019 as set out below:		
1.2	The South Essex Parking Partnership (SEPP) propose to make the above named Order following a parking review of Roman Road and Roman Close, Mountnessing.		
1.3	In March 2021 an application form was received from Mountnessing Parish Council and supported by a local councillor requesting parking restrictions for the junction of Roman Road and Roman Close, Mountnessing.		
	Following receipt of the application the SEPP carried out a number of site visits and completed an assessment. During the site visits vehicles were observed parking too close to the junction of Roman Road and Roman Close, Mountnessing.		
1.4	Rule 243 of the Highway Code states that vehicles should not park within 10 metres of a junction. As per the site visits described above the technician has noted vehicles parking within 10 metres of the junction during numerous visits and therefore would recommend that junction protection markings are implemented at this location. However, due to the nature of the junction the technician would recommend the double yellow lines are implemented up to the block paving within Roman Close, which would also protect the entrance to the properties on the right as you enter Roman Close. This would be a total of approximately 15 metres.		
	As with any new scheme it will, if implemented, be monitored for its effectiveness and for any displacement of parked vehicles.		
	The SEPP Joint Committee Member and Lead Officer for Brentwood agreed with the findings and a scheme was costed with funding being approved to progress to a formal consultation. The scheme was estimated at £2,000. This cost could be reduced if incorporated with other roads in Brentwood, to publish one Traffic Regulation Order.		
1.5	SEPP Policy – 1.6 It is acknowledged that all requests for a parking restriction will carry some form of merit and may be beneficial to the particular area. The requests will be submitted for a variety of reasons and depending on the circumstance will be considered as a high or low funding priority to the Partnership. As the amount of funding available for new schemes is limited it is the intention of this policy to provide a criteria, which if met, will be considered a high priority scheme for the Partnership and therefore stand a greater chance of receiving the available funding. Schemes that do not meet all the criteria can still be progressed and considered by the Joint Committee, but schemes with a higher priority will take precedence. All schemes will be subject to available funding.		
1.6	SEPP Policy – 7.1  The SEPP will receive all parking restriction requests that do not meet the criteria of ECC safety and congestion policies, detailed above. Although these schemes do not meet the ECC criteria the Partnership may decide to implement parking restrictions to improve safety and sight lines, if the Partnership consider that the restriction will be beneficial to the area.		
1.7	The Order was originally advertised in the Brentwood Gazette on 19 <sup>th</sup> July 2023 and on site from 19 <sup>th</sup> July to 10 <sup>th</sup> August 2023, under Sections 1(1), 2(1) to (3), 4(1), 4(2), 32, 35, 45, 46, 49 and 53 and Parts III and IV of schedule 9 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984. Copies of Brentwood Amendment No.22 were sent to the SEPP's list of consultees, Brentwood Council, relevent councillors and Town and Parish Councils and put on the Chelmsford City Council website.		

1.8	The Proposed Order is for No Waiting at Any Time (Double Yellow Lines) on junctions.		
2	Comments		
2.1	The details of the representations are summarised in Appendix 2 to this report together with the comments of the Technicians.		
3	Conclusion		
3.1	Although the correspondents have made a number of points which lead them to believe the Order should not be pursued in whole or part, the SEPP Joint Committee Member, Lead Officer and Technicians consider that none of them are of sufficient weight to warrant the Order not being made which would assist in upholding the Highway Code.		

# List of Appendices

Appendix 1 – List of people making representations.

Appendix 2 - Summary of objections or support and Technicians comments.

Appendix 3 – Photos taken by the SEPP.

## **APPENDIX 1**

Ref	List of people making representations	Type
	Roman Road and Roman Close, Mountnessing.	
1	Emails from resident of Roman Close dated 19/07/2023, 20/08/2023 & 11/08/2023	Support
2	Emails from resident of Roman Close dated 09/08/2023 & 10/08/2023	Objection

# APPENDIX 2 REPRESENTATIONS & RESPONSES FOLLOWING FORMAL ADVERTISEMENT – 19<sup>th</sup> July to 10<sup>th</sup> August 2023

	Representations & responses relating to Roman Road and Roman Close, Mountnessing.			
Ref	Representation -	Technician response -		
	Email dated 19/07/2023.  I am writing in SUPPORT of the proposals to introduce 'No waiting at any time' at the junction of Roman Close and Roman Road.	Support noted however if it is felt that the restrictions do not extend far enough then the representation needs to be taken as an objection. It		
	I live on Roman Close and the junction is extremely dangerous to motorists exiting Roman Close due to vehicles parking on the road or the pavement or both. Such parking on the junction is extremely dangerous as the line of sight from the Ingatestone direction is total obscured and a 'blind spot' occurs just as we attempt to cross oncoming 40 mph traffic. Many times I've edged out and have to pray I'm going to be seen by oncoming traffic.	is not possible to increase the length of the proposed restriction without further consultation.		
	The inconsiderate actions of such motorists is breathtaking and it's just a matter of time before a bad collision will occur unless action is taken and double yellow lines imposed.			
1	Motorists living in adjacent housing who may object have adequate parking spaces on their driveway in front of their houses and have absolutely no need to park on either the road or pavement just because it's convenient for a quick get away.			
	Something must be done before an accident occurs and it WILL happen unless action is taken. Whilst writing I suggest the length of 'No waiting at any time' etc on Roman Road should be 30 meters in EACH Direction, 15 meters northeast from Roman Close is <b>insufficient</b> as that is the danger area NOT the other way around, perhaps you've got the proposals the wrong way around, please recheck your survey findings on this matter. The online maps does suggest 30 meters northeast from Roman Close and 15 meters southwestwards - it's your Public Notice on lampposts in Roman Close that is not clear and appears to have this the wrong way around (see attached illustration). Maybe I'm reading this incorrectly, if so, please correct me.			

Representations & responses relating to		
Representation	Roman Road and Roman Close, Mountnessing.	Technician response -
	ponse dated 20/07/2023	
	ne proposal does not go far enough, then you will need to make an	
•	ength of the proposed yellow lines in Roman Road is from a point 15 metre	s
	extended kerb line of Roman Close and extends south-westwards for 30	
metres. Below i	s a screenshot of the proposed plan.	
Please note that	t the yellow lines extend slightly further than what the Highway Code states	for
parking on, or no	ear a junction. The reason for this is to provide slightly more sight lines whe	n
• •	on of Roman Road and Roman Close. The yellow lines to the southwest of	•
the junction exte	end to the lay-by.	
Please also note	e that with any new scheme it would be monitored for its effectiveness.	
Could vou pleas	e confirm whether you would like to object to the proposal, or support it.	
,	<b>8</b>	
///		
	3	
	San I	
Shelter	ROMAN	
She		
	CLOSE	
Email dated 20		
Please kindly ca		
•	ying, basically the yellow lines on the map below DO NOT correspond to th	e
distances stated		

	Representations & responses relating to			
	Roman Road and Roman Close, Mountnessing.			
Ref	Representation -	Technician response -		
	Technician response dated 20/07/2023	·		
	The Technician's are currently out on site however the below plan should explain things more			
	clearly.			
	Brut			
	Sylv			
	Steller			
	Email dated 11/08/2023.			
	I support the proposed parking restrictions.			
	I support the proposed parking restrictions.			
	Email dated 09/08/2023.	The request for parking restrictions		
	We live at	received by the SEPP was for the		
		junction only. Further restrictions for		
	We are very glad that you are adding yellow lines to stop parking as it is a real problem in the	opposite the shops would need to be		
	area, every single day we get workers from the school or local shops dumping theirs cars for	applied for in a separate application.		
	the day outside our houses.	It is not possible to increase the		
_		length of the proposed restriction		
2	But.	without further consultation.		
		Yellow lines apply to everyone, it is		
	As big a problem is cars parking on the grass verges opposite the parade of shops close to	not possible to have permits for family		
	the planned new parking restrictions, these verges have been destroyed and all that will	and friends. Not parking on, or near,		
	happen when you add the yellow lines as planned is cars will simply park across the road on	any junction is a fundamental part of		
	the verge	road safety. It is possible to load and unload and set down and pick up		
	The situation would have worsened.	passengers on double yellow lines. It		
	THE SILUALION WOULD HAVE WOISENED.	passengers on double yellow lines. It		

	Representations & responses relating to			
	Roman Road and Roman Close, Mountnessing.			
Ref	Representation -	Technician response -		
	You need to do both at the same time - the planned yellow lines plus protect the verges opposite.	is also possible for blue badge holders to park for up to 3 hours and yellow lines if displaying their blue		
	Email dated 09/08/2023. We live at	badge and time clock. Support confirmed and noted. An application form has been sent to		
	Are the yellow lines in effect 24 hours? Will we have permits for families or friends?	request further parking restrictions.		
	Email dated 10/08/2023. Many thanks for the prompt response, very impressed.			
	I'd very much like to 'support' the proposal.			
	How do I submit an application - "Further restrictions for opposite the shops would need to be applied for in a separate application"			
	Email dated 10/08/2023.  Upon further consideration we would like to <u>object</u> to the proposal.  All the proposal will do is push the non residents (business workers and cafe goers) onto the grass verges around the village, further destroying the already blighted verges, these non residents will not be inconvenienced one little bit.  Meanwhile the 24 hour, 7 day a week proposal will impact the actual residents in the area by not allowing our guests to park when they visit.  We will not object if the area to the lift of our house (in Roman Close) is not yellow lined, or the proposal only covers Monday to Friday, 09:00 to 05:00.  The proposal will achieve nothing apart from inconveniencing the local residents, it is ill thought out.			

## APPENDIX 3 PHOTOS TAKEN BY THE SEPP



