Baddow Road Car Park

Chelmsford Social Club

East Chelmsford

Former Gas Works Wharf Road

Former Post Office Sorting Office

Garage Site and Land Medway Close

Great Leighs Land at Moulsham Hall

Land Rear of 17-37 Beach's Drive

Lockside Navigation Road

Navigation Road

North East Chelmsford

North of South Woodham Ferrers

Peninsula Wharf Road

Rivermead Industrial Estate

Riverside Ice & Leisure

Travis Perkins Navigation Road

West Chelmsford

Please open the Bookmarks navigation pane to display links to individual maps





	Site Name		Baddow Road Car Park					
Site details	Area	1.	1.2 hectares					
	Current land use		Brownfield					
Existing drainage features		16.	he confluence of ite.	the Ri	ver Can with t	he River Ch	elmer is just	north of the
				Pi	roportion of	site at ris	k	
			FZ3b		FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1
	Fluvial		6%		92%	2%		0%
			he site is at sig ones 3a and 3b.	nifican	t flood risk w	ith almost a	all the site w	vithin Flood
Sources of			Р	ropor	tion of site	at risk (Ro	FfSW)	
flood risk			30-year		100-у	ear	1,000	-year
	Surface Water		5%		13%	6	82	%
	Carrago maior	1,	Surface water risk mapping shows significant surface water risk in the 1 in 1,000-year event. However, in the 1 in 30-year and 1 in 100-year, the risk is much less, with a small area of ponding in the east of the site.					
	Reservoir	Р	Part of the site is shown to be at risk in the event of reservoir inundation.					nundation.
	Flood history		Most of the site falls within the extent of the Environment Agency's recorded flood outline for 1947.					t Agency's
			Defence Typ	е	Standard of Protection		Cond	lition
Flood risk	Defences		-					
management infrastructure		Т	The site is not protected by any known formal flood defences.					
	Residual risk	n/	n/a					
Emergency	Flood warning	R	All of the site falls within the River Wid and Can Flood Alert Area and the River Can and Chelmer, through Chelmsford or the Riverside properties in Chelmsford, including the cricket ground Flood Warning Area.					
planning	Access and egress	aı	Safe access and egress for the site is an issue. Baddow Road and the A1060 are shown to be in the Flood Zones with no alroute.					
	Climate change allowances for		River Bas	in Di	strict	Central	Higher Central	Upper End
Climate	'2080s'	А	nglian			25%	35%	65%
Change	% of site at risk					99%	99%	99%
	Implications for the site		Climate change m Flood Zone 3 in th			at almost all of the site will fall within		





	Site Name	Baddow Road Car Park		
Site details	Area	1.2 hectares		
	Current land use	Brownfield		
Requirements for drainage	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	The underlying bedrock geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. The underlying superficial geology for parts of the site is Till. Depending on the proportion of the soil type encountered (e.g. clay, sand, gravel) the suitability of infiltration basins and devices may vary.		
control and impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.		
	Historic Landfill Site	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.		
	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required in the following scenarios If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a. If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2. If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios Highly Vulnerable infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b		
NPPF and planning implications	Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. Given most of the site is within Flood Zone 3, flood compensation will be required on a level-for-level, volume-for-volume basis for any proposed loss of floodplain. Therefore, land within the vicinity and outside the proposed site will be required for flood compensation. Prospects for effective mitigation would need to be established before taking the site forward. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. Given the level of risk, single storey buildings and the use of basements should be avoided. Resilience measures will be required if buildings are placed within the risk areas Finished floor levels should be set a minimum of 600mm above the 1 in 100-year plus climate change peak flood level. Allocating the ground floor of a building for less vulnerable, non-residential, use is an effective way of raising living space above flood levels. Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated; access should be situated 300mm above the design flood level and waterproof construction techniques used. 		





	Site Name	Baddow Road Car Park		
Site details	Area	1.2 hectares		
Current land use		Brownfield		
		 Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the River Chelmer to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development. 		
		Mapping Information		
Flood Zones		Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3. Flood Zone 3b is based on the 20-year results from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		
Climate change		The climate change allowances for the '2080's were modelled for the Level 1 SFRA using the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model for the purposes of the SFRA.		
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Depth and velo	ocity mapping	Depth and velocity mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (defended) have been taken from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		





	Site Nar	ne	Chelmsford Social Club and private car park (55 Springfield Road)				
Site details	Area		0.74 hectares				
	Current	land use	Brownfield				
	Existing features	g drainage	The River Chelmer flows southwards past the site. At the northernedge of the site, the river is adjacent to the site boundary.				hern-most
				Proportion of	site at ris	k	
			FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1
	Fluvial		13%	44%	38%		5%
			Fluvial flood risk to the flooding.	site is significa	ant, with 95%	% of the site a	t risk from
Sources of flood risk			Prop	ortion of site	at risk (Ro	oFfW)	
nood nok			30-year	100-y	ear	1,000-	year
	Surface	Water	10%	299	%	729	%
			Surface water flood ris outside of risk. The are				
	Reservo	oir	The site is not at risk o	finundation in	the event of	reservoir failu	ıre.
	Flood h	istory	The Environment Agency's historic flood map shows the site has flooded in the past, in 1947.				
Flood viols	Defense	_	Defence Type	Standa Protec		Condi	ition
Flood risk	Defence	es				Condi -	ition
Flood risk management infrastructure	Defence	es		Protect-	ction		ition
management	Defence Residua		Defence Type	Protect-	ction		ition
management infrastructure		al risk	Period Type - The site is not protecte	d by any known e site falls with the site falls the cricket gross within the	n formal floo in the River I within the I und Flood V	d defences. Wid and Can I Riverside pro	Flood Alert operties in whilst the
management	Residua	al risk varning	The site is not protecte n/a The northern edge of tr Area. The north of Chelmsford, including south of the site fall	e site falls with the site falls the cricket gros within the ning Area. y access and e site the road of the site the rown and the rown with the rown and the site the er risk mapping with the rown w	in the River V within the I und Flood V River Can egress rout is shown to b road is free g shows sur	d defences. Wid and Can I Riverside pro Varning Area and Chelme te for the sit be at risk (in Fe from fluvial face water flo	Flood Alert operties in whilst the r, through e. In the flood Zone flood risk. bod risk is
management infrastructure Emergency	Residua Flood w	al risk varning	The site is not protected n/a The northern edge of the Area. The north of Chelmsford, including south of the site fall Chelmsford Flood War The A1099 is the onlimmediate vicinity of the 2 and 3). Just north However, surface water significant on the A109	d by any known the site falls with the site falls the cricket gross within the ning Area. y access and e site the road of the site the risk mapping with the rough.	in the River V within the I und Flood V River Can egress rout is shown to b road is free g shows sur	d defences. Wid and Can I Riverside pro Varning Area and Chelme te for the sit be at risk (in Fe from fluvial face water flo	Flood Alert operties in whilst the r, through e. In the flood Zone flood risk bod risk is year event
management infrastructure Emergency planning	Flood w Access egress	al risk varning and change	The site is not protected n/a The northern edge of the Area. The north of Chelmsford, including south of the site fall Chelmsford Flood Warn The A1099 is the onlimmediate vicinity of the 2 and 3). Just north However, surface water significant on the A109 along much of its length	d by any known the site falls with the site falls the cricket gross within the ning Area. y access and e site the road of the site the risk mapping with the rough.	in the River I within the I und Flood V River Can egress rout is shown to be road is free g shows surte at risk fro	d defences. Wid and Can I Riverside pro Varning Area and Chelme te for the sit be at risk (in Fe e from fluvial face water flo	Flood Alert operties in whilst the r, through e. In the Flood Zone flood risk, ood risk is year event
management infrastructure Emergency	Flood w Access egress Climate allowan	al risk varning and change ces for	The site is not protected n/a The northern edge of the Area. The north of Chelmsford, including south of the site fall Chelmsford Flood War The A1099 is the onlimmediate vicinity of the 2 and 3). Just north However, surface water significant on the A109 along much of its length	d by any known the site falls with the site falls the cricket gross within the ning Area. y access and e site the road of the site the risk mapping with the rough.	in the River I within the I und Flood V River Can egress rout is shown to be road is free g shows sur te at risk fro	d defences. Wid and Can I Riverside pro Varning Area and Chelme te for the sit be at risk (in Fe from fluvial face water flo m a 1 in 30-y Higher Central	Flood Alert operties in whilst the r, through e. In the Flood Zone flood risk ood risk is year event Upper End
management infrastructure Emergency planning Climate	Residua Flood w Access egress Climate allowan '2080s' % of site	al risk varning and change ces for	The site is not protected n/a The northern edge of the Area. The north of Chelmsford, including south of the site fall Chelmsford Flood War The A1099 is the onlimmediate vicinity of the 2 and 3). Just north However, surface water significant on the A109 along much of its length	d by any known e site falls with the site falls the cricket gross within the ning Area. y access and e site the road of the site the road of the site the er risk mapping 99 with the routh. istrict	in the River Very within the I und Flood Very Can egress rout is shown to be road is free grown surter at risk from Central 25%	d defences. Wid and Can I Riverside pro Varning Area and Chelme te for the sit be at risk (in Fe e from fluvial face water flo m a 1 in 30-y Higher Central 35% 91%	Flood Alert operties in whilst the r, through e. In the flood Zone flood risk bood risk is year event Upper End 65% 95%





	Site Name	Chelmsford Social Club and private car park (55 Springfield Road)
Site details	Area	0.74 hectares
	Current land use	Brownfield
Requirements for drainage	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	The underlying bedrock geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. The underlying superficial geology for parts of the site is Till. Depending on the proportion of the soil type encountered (e.g. clay, sand, gravel) the suitability of infiltration basins and devices may vary.
control and impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.
	Historic Landfill Site	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.
	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required in the following scenarios If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a. If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2. If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios Highly Vulnerable infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b.
NPPF and planning implications	Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or for any development greater than one hectare in Flood Zone 1. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. Given the level of risk, single storey buildings and the use of basements should be avoided. Resilience measures will be required if buildings are placed within the risk areas. Finished floor levels should be set a minimum of 600mm above the 1 in 100-year plus climate change peak flood level. Allocating the ground floor of a building for less vulnerable, non-residential, use is an effective way of raising living space above flood levels. Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated; access should be situated 300mm above the design flood level and waterproof construction techniques used. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the River Chelmer to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff.





	Site Name	Chelmsford Social Club and private car park (55 Springfield Road)		
Site details	Area	0.74 hectares		
	Current land use	Brownfield		
		 Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using Flood Zones 2 and 3 as public open space. 		
		Mapping Information		
Flood Zones		Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3. Flood Zone 3b is based on the 20-year results from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		
Climate change		The climate change allowances for the '2080's were modelled for the Level 1 SFRA using the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model for the purposes of the SFRA.		
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Depth and velo	ocity mapping	Depth and velocity mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (defended) have been taken from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		





	Site Name	East Chelmsford –	East Chelmsford – Manor Farm				
Site details	Area	27.45 hectares					
	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield				
Existing drainage features		The River Chelmer	flows to the nort	h of the site.			
			Proportion	of site at ris	k		
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1	
		0%	<1%	3%		97%	
Sources of flood risk	Fluvial	Fluvial flood risk is in Flood Zone 2. the northern bound site.	A marginal area dary of the site, b	of FZ3a is pre ut this produce	sent immedia es negligible	ately along	
			oportion of sit	•			
		30-year		-year	1,000-		
	Surface Water	0%)%	3%		
		Surface water risk north.	Surface water risk to the site is low, consisting of two flow paths south to north.				
	Reservoir	The site is not at ris	The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir failure			ıre.	
	Flood history	The site is outside of the Environment Agency's historic flood map.				пар.	
Flood risk	Defences	Defence Type		dard of ection	Cond	ition	
management	Defences						
infrastructure		The site is not protected by any known formal flood defences.					
	Residual risk	n/a	n/a				
Emergency	Flood warning		The northern edge of the site falls within the Lower River Chelmer Alert Area and the River Chelmer from the A138 at Chelmsford to Miles Flood Warning Area				
planning	Access and egress	not affected by flux	The main access and egress routes for the site (A1060 and A11 not affected by fluvial flooding and a largely unaffected by surfaction flood risk. Therefore, safe access and egress should not be an is			face water	
	Climate change allowances for	River Basir	District	Central	Higher Central	Upper End	
Climate	'2080s'	Anglian		25%	35%	65%	
Change	% of site at risk			1%	1%	2%	
-	Implications for the site	Climate change me Zone 2 may becom			that is curre	ently Flood	





	Site Name	East Chelmsford – Manor Farm
Site details	Area	27.45 hectares
	Current land use	Greenfield
Requirements for drainage control and		The underlying geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. Detention features may be feasible providing site slopes are <5% at the location of the detention feature. All forms of conveyance features are likely to be suitable. Where slopes are >5%, features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows.
impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.
	Historic Landfill Site	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.
	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required if Highly Vulnerable development is in FZ2.
NPPF and planning implications	Requirements and guidance for site- specific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zone 2 or for any development greater than one hectare in Flood Zone 1. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. Buildings should be situated away from the flood risk area. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the River Chelmer to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development.
		Mapping Information
Flood Zones Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 3b is based on the 20-year results from the Environment Agiver Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		





0.00 1.00.00		East Chelmsford – Manor Farm			
			27.45 hectares		
	Current land use		Greenfield		
Climate change)		S .	080's were modelled for the Level 1 SFRA Chelmer detailed hydraulic model for the	
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water surface water flooding.	has been used to define areas at risk from		
Depth and velocity mapping		Depth and velocity mapping for the 1 in 1 from the Environment Agency's River Ch	00-year event (defended) have been taken nelmer detailed hydraulic model.		





	Site Nam	ne	Former Gas Work	Former Gas Works, Wharf Road				
Site details	Area		3.3 hectares					
	Current I	land use	Brownfield					
	Existing features	drainage	The River Chelmer flows along the south west boundary of the siver Can has its confluence with the River Chelmer at the site. potential for interaction between these two watercourses that co in flooding to the site; the risk could come from both or just or watercourses.			. There is ould result		
				Р	roportion of	site at ris	k	
	Floridat		FZ3b		FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1
	Fluvial		1%		93%	6%		0%
			Flood risk to the s	ite is h	nigh, with almo	st all the site	e within Flood	Zone 3a.
Sources of			Р	ropor	tion of site	at risk (Ro	FfSW)	
flood risk			30-year		100-у	ear	1,000-	year
			1%		3%)	559	%
	Surface Water	Surface water flo However, in the 1 risk is spread ever areas.	in 1,0	00-year event	, over half o	f the site is a	t risk. The	
	Reservo	ir	The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir failure.					ıre.
	Flood his	story	The entire site falls within the 1947 flood extent in the Environment Agency's Historic Flood Map.					
			Defence Typ	е	Standa Protec		Cond	ition
Flood risk	Defences			tion .				
management infrastructure			The site is not protected by any known formal flood defences.					
iiiiasiiuciuie	Residual	l rick	n/a					
	Nesidual	IIISK	,					
Emergency planning	Flood wa	arning	Most of the site falls within the River Wid and Can Flood Alert Are the River Can and Chelmer, through Chelmsford and the River properties in Chelmsford, including the cricket ground Flood Wa Areas.				Riverside	
plaining	Access a egress	and	The main access and egress routes for the site are Wharf Road A1099 (High Bridge Road) both of which are at risk from flooding.					
	Climate of		River Bas	in Di	strict	Central	Higher Central	Upper End
	'2080s'		Anglian			25%	35%	65%
Climate Change	% of site	at risk				94%	95%	95%
Change	Implicati the site	ons for	The risk to the site is already high in the present day; climat modelling does not show a significant increase in the extent of FI 3 at the site. However, depths, velocities and hazard will increase future.			lood Zone		





	Site Name	Former Gas Works, Wharf Road		
Site details	Area	3.3 hectares		
	Current land use	Brownfield		
Requirements for drainage	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	The underlying bedrock geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. The underlying superficial geology for parts of the site is Till. Depending on the proportion of the soil type encountered (e.g. clay, sand, gravel) the suitability of infiltration basins and devices may vary.		
control and impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.		
	Historic Landfill Site	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.		
	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required in the following scenarios If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a. If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2. If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios Highly Vulnerable infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b.		
NPPF and planning implications	Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or for any development greater than one hectare in Flood Zone 1. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. As much of the site is within Flood Zone 3, flood compensation will be required on a level-for-level, volume-for-volume basis for any proposed loss of floodplain. Therefore, land within the vicinity and outside the proposed site will be required for flood compensation. Prospects for effective mitigation would need to be established before taking the site forward. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. Given the level of risk, single storey buildings and the use of basements should be avoided. Resilience measures will be required if buildings are placed within the risk areas Finished floor levels should be set a minimum of 600mm above the 1 in 100-year plus climate change peak flood level. Allocating the ground floor of a building for less vulnerable, non-residential, use is an effective way of raising living space above flood levels. 		





	Site Name	Former Gas Works, Wharf Road			
Site details	Area	3.3 hectares			
	Current land use	Brownfield			
		 Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated; access should be situated 300mm above the design flood level and waterproof construction techniques used. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the River Chelmer to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff. 			
		Mapping Information			
Flood Zones		Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3. Flood Zone 3b is based on the 20-year results from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.			
Climate change		The climate change allowances for the '2080's were modelled for the Level 1 SFRA using the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model for the purposes of the SFRA.			
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.			
Depth and velo	ocity mapping	Depth and velocity mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (defended) have been taken from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.			





		1					
	Site Name	Former Royal M	ail pre	emises, Victo	oria Road		
Site details	Area	1.4 hectares					
	Current land use	Brownfield					
	Existing drainage features	The River Chelme boundary.	er flow	vs approximat	ely 2.25km	away from th	ne eastern
			Р	roportion of	site at ris	k	
	El., del	FZ3b		FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1
	Fluvial	0%		0%	70%		30%
		All but the very no	rth of	the site is sho	wn to be in F	lood Zone 2.	
Sources of		Pı	ropor	tion of site	at risk (Ro	FfSW)	
flood risk		30-year		100-y	ear	1,000-	year
	Surface Water	7%		15%	6	399	/ 6
		Surface water flood risk mapping shows risk is predominantly located in the centre of the site.					
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir failure.					
	Flood history	The site is outside of the Environment Agency's historic flood map.					
		Defence Typ	е	Standard of Protection		Condi	tion
Flood risk	Defences				_		
management infrastructure		The site is not protected by any known formal flood defences.					
iiiiasii uotui o	Residual risk	n/a					
Emergency	Flood warning	The southern portion of the site falls within the River Wid and Can Floor Alert Area and the River Can and Chelmer, through Chelmsford Floor Warning Area.					
planning	Access and egress	The main access and egress route for the site is Victoria Road, when shown to be in Flood Zone 2 along the length of the road passing the					
	Climate change allowances for	River Bas	in Di	strict	Central	Higher Central	Upper End
Climate	'2080s'	Anglian			25%	35%	65%
Change	% of site at risk				44%	59%	72%
	Implications for the site	Climate change modelling suggests the fall within Flood Zone 2 could become			nose areas of the site that currently		





	Site Name	Former Royal Mail premises, Victoria Road
Site details	Area	1.4 hectares
	Current land use	Brownfield
Requirements for drainage	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	The underlying bedrock geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. The underlying superficial geology for the southern part of the site is Till. Depending on the proportion of the soil type encountered (e.g. clay, sand, gravel) the suitability of infiltration basins and devices may vary.
control and impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.
	Historic Landfill Site	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.
Exception Test requirements		The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required if Highly Vulnerable development is in FZ2.
NPPF and planning implications	Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zone 2 or for any development greater than one hectare in Flood Zone 1. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. Buildings should be situated away from the flood risk area. Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated; access should be situated 300mm above the design flood level and waterproof construction techniques used. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the River Chelmer to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development.





Site Name		Former Royal Mail premises, Victoria Road		
Site details	Area	1.4 hectares		
	Current land use	Brownfield		
Mapping Information				
Flood Zones		Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3. Flood Zone 3b is based on the 20-year results from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		
Climate change		The climate change allowances for the '2080's were modelled for the Level 1 SFRA using the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model for the purposes of the SFRA.		
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Depth and velocity mapping		Depth and velocity mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (defended) have been taken from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		





	Site Name		Garage Site and L	and, I	Medway Close)			
Site details	Area		1.3 hectares						
	Current lan	nd use	Greenfield						
	Existing dr features	ainage	One Bridge Brook	One Bridge Brook Chignall flows along the western boundary of the s					
				Р	roportion of	site at ris	k		
			FZ3b		FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1	
	Fluvial		0%		24%	6%		70%	
Sources of			Fluvial flood risk is Brook.	s alon	the western	site boundaı	ry, from the C	ne Bridge	
flood risk			P	ropor	tion of site	at risk (Ro	FfSW)		
			30-year		100-у	ear	1,000-	year	
	Surface Wa	ater	38%		61%	6	909	%	
		Surface water flood risk mapping indicates the site is at significant risk from an overland route flowing north east to south west through the site.							
	Reservoir		The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir failure.					ıre.	
	Flood histo	ory	The site is outside of the Environment Agency's historic flood r				storic flood m	пар.	
Flood risk	D. C		Defence Type Standa Protect			Condi	ition		
management	Defences								
infrastructure			The site is not protected by any known formal flood defences.						
	Residual ri	sk	n/a						
Emergency	Flood warn	ning	The eastern edge of the site falls within the River Wid and Can Flood Aler Area. It is not covered by any Flood Warning Area.					Flood Alert	
planning	Access and egress	d	The main access and egress route for the site is the A1060 (Roxwel which is not at risk of fluvial flooding.					well Road)	
	Climate change		River Basin District		strict	Central	Higher Central	Upper End	
	allowances '2080s'	5 101	Anglian			25%	35%	65%	
	% of site at I	risk					Unknown		
Climate Change	Implication the site	is for	Flood Zones in this area are taken for therefore, there are no climate change However, modelling undertaken for Chelmsford show a general trend of being broadly similar to the extent of Therefore, it would be a reasonable allowance would result in a similar flo site, affecting the eastern boundary.			from original 2D (Jflow) modelling; e outputs in this area. r the other watercourses around the Upper End allowance extents Flood Zone 2 in undefended areas. e assumption that the Upper End			





	Site Name	Garage Site and Land, Medway Close				
Site details	Area	1.3 hectares				
	Current land use	Greenfield				
Requirements for drainage	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	The underlying bedrock geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. The underlying superficial geology for parts of the site is Till. Depending on the proportion of the soil type encountered (e.g. clay, sand, gravel) the suitability of infiltration basins and devices may vary.				
control and impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.				
	Historic Landfill Site	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.				
	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required in the following scenarios If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a. If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2. If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios Highly Vulnerable infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b.				
NPPF and planning implications	Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or for any development greater than one hectare in Flood Zone 1. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. A detailed model of the One Bridge Brook may be required to define Flood Zone 3b, as well as the impact of climate change in the future. The implication of blockage of the Roxwell Road culvert on flood risk in the site may need to be considered. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. The sequential approach to site layout should be used to direct buildings towards Flood Zone 1. Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the One Bridge Brook to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. 				





	Site Name	Garage Site and Land, Medway Close			
Site details	Area	1.3 hectares			
Current land us		Greenfield			
		Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development. Mapping Information			
Flood Zones		Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3.			
Climate change		There is no detailed model in this area (Flood Zones are from original 2D (Jflow) modelling); therefore, there are no climate change outputs in this area.			
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.			
Depth and velocity	mapping	There is no detailed model in this area (Flood Zones are from original 2D (Jflow) modelling); therefore, there are no depth or velocity outputs in this area.			





		T						
	Site Name	Great Leighs – Lar	nd at Moulsham H	all				
Site details	Area	46.67 hectares						
	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield					
	Existing drainage features	Two unnamed watercourses flow parallel to each other in a gener north-east to south-west direction across the northern half of the entering along the eastern boundary and exiting along the west boundary. The two watercourses have their confluence immediately p to a bridge over the Rectory Lane approximately 360m to the west of site. The unnamed watercourses are tributaries of the River Ter.						
			•	of site at risk				
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1			
		3%	<1%	1%	96%			
Sources of flood risk	Fluvial	No detailed hydraulic models exist for these watercourses, therefore 2D generalised modelling was undertaken. Fluvial flood risk is low for the majority of the site, with only the areas adjacent to the unnamed watercourses that flow across the site showing to be affected. The most northerly watercourse shows a misalignment between the drainage line on the OS mapping and the modelled output; this is because 2D modelling techniques allow water to spread over the topography, and therefore a low point along the bank at the upstream end allowed an adjacent flow route to be activated. Detailed modelling at FRA-stage will help confirm the flood risk from these watercourses.						
		Proportion of site at risk (RoFfSW)						
		30-year	100-	year	1,000-year			
	Surface Water	2%	2	%	6%			
		Isolated pockets of surface water develop in the 30-year event and expand in size in the 100-year and 1,000-year events. The greatest surface water extents on site relate to the channels of the unnamed watercourses and immediately adjacent land. Most of the site however is at very low risk of surface water flooding.						
		The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir failure.						
	Reservoir	The site is not at ri	sk of inundation ir	the event of rese	ervoir failure.			
	Reservoir Flood history	The site is not at ri						
	Flood history		of the Environme					
Flood risk		The site is outside	of the Environme	nt Agency's histor	ic flood map.			
management	Flood history	The site is outside	of the Environme	ard of ection	ic flood map. Condition			
	Flood history	The site is outside Defence Type - The site is not protein/a	of the Environme Stand Prote ected by any know	ard of ection wn formal flood de	ic flood map. Condition			





	Site Nam	е	Great Leighs – Land at Moulsham H	all				
Site details	Area		46.67 hectares					
	Current I	and use	Greenfield					
Emergency planning	Access a egress	ind	The site is surrounded by numerous roads including: Dumney Lane School Lane A131 Moulsham Hill Lane In the 1,000-year fluvial event/ Flood Zone 2, all the roads and potential points of access and egress for the site are shown to be outside of the Flood Zone 2 extent. In the 1,000-year surface water event, safe access and egress is shown to still be available via the A131 and Moulsham Hill Lane. However, the impact of surface water on the surrounding road network may lime evacuation beyond the immediate vicinity of the site.					
	Climate o		River Basin District	Central	Higher Central	Upper End		
Climate	'2080s'	C3 101	Anglian	25%	35%	65%		
Change				4%	4%	4%		
	Implication the site	Extents on the site increase slightly in the climate change events with the 65% Upper End scenario, closely resembling the extents of the currer Flood Zone 2.						
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS Groundwater Source Protection Zone		ent of	The underlying bedrock geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. The underlying superficial geology for parts of the site is Till. Depending on the proportion of the soil type encountered (e.g. clay, sand, gravel) the suitability of infiltration basins and devices may vary.					
			The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.					
	Historic I Site	Landfill	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.					
NPPF and planning implications	Exceptio requirem		The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required in the following scenarios If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a. If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2. If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios Highly Vulnerable infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b.					





	Site Name	Great Leighs – Land at Moulsham Hall		
Site details	Area	46.67 hectares		
	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zone 2, 3 or for any development greater than one hectare in Flood Zone 1. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. Buildings should be situated away from the flood risk area. Flood risk at the site should be confirmed by undertaking more detailed hydraulic modelling at the site-specific level, which incorporates channel topographic survey, as part of a Flood Risk Assessment. The generalised 2D modelling provides an indication of flood risk for the purpose of the SFRA. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the River Ter to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development. 		
		Mapping Information		
Flood Zones		Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on Environment Agency's Flood Zone and where no data was available, generalised 2D hydraulic modelling was undertaken to provide Flood Zones 2 and 3a. The SFRA has identified Flood Zone 3b as land which would flood with an annual probability of 1 in 20 years. Flood Zone 3b has been derived from generalised 2D hydraulic modelling, undertaken for this SFRA. Due to the generalised nature of the modelling, defences have not been accounted for and therefore FZ3b mapping shows the 'undefended' scenario.		
Climate change		The climate change allowances for the '2080s' epoch were modelled using generalised 2D modelling techniques for the purposes of the SFRA. Due to the generalised nature of the modelling, defences have not been accounted for and therefore mapping shows the 'undefended' scenario. The mapping provides a strategic assessment of climate change risk – developers should undertake detailed modelling of climate change allowances as part of a site-specific FRA.		
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		





	Site Name		Great Leighs – Land at Moulsham F	lall		
Site details	Area		46.67 hectares			
	Current	land use	Greenfield			
Depth and velocity mapping		Depth and velocity mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (Flood Zone 3a) has beer derived from the generalised 2D modelling. Due to the generalised nature of the modelling, any defences which may be present have not been accounted for and therefore mapping shows the 'undefended' scenario. The mapping provides a strategic assessment of flood depths and velocities; developers should undertake detailed modelling as part of a site-specific FRA.				





	Site Nam	e	Land rear of 17-3	7 Beac	h's Drive				
Site details	Area		0.67 hectares	0.67 hectares					
	Current la	and use	Brownfield						
Existin feature		drainage	The River Can flows 120m to the south of the site in an easterly direction. An unnamed watercourse flows 160m to the west of the site in a southerly direction until its confluence with the River Can.						
				Pı	roportion of	site at ris	k		
			FZ3b		FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1	
			0%		91%	5%		4%	
Sources of	Fluvial		Fluvial flood risk is high with the vast majority of the site at risk in the 100-year event (Flood Zone 3a), with an additional smaller area also at risk in the 1,000-year event (Flood Zone 2). Only a few small areas around the periphery considered to be at low risk of fluvial flooding (Flood Zone 1). Please note Flood Zones do not take into account flood defences; as such, the flood risk to the site could be less than that shown in the Flood Zones.					o at risk in around the d Zone 1). s; as such,	
flood risk				roport		at risk (uFMFSW)			
			30-year		100-у	ear	1,000-	year	
			39%		69%	6	989	%	
	Surface Water		The surface water flood risk to the site is high because the site is situated in a slight topographical depression, resulting in pooling water developing in over a third of the site in the 30-year event. This continues to worsen in the 100-year event and by the 1,000-year event is shown to affect much of the site and is indistinguishable from surface water extents in the valley relating to the River Can.						
	Reservoi	r	The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir failure.						
	Flood his	story	The site is outside	e of the	Environment	Agency's hi	storic flood n	пар.	
	Defences		Defence Typ	е	Standard of Protection		Condition		
Flood risk			Embankment		100-years		3 (worst condition 5)		
management infrastructure			The site is defended from the River Can by embankment defences situated approximately 80m to the south of the site.						
	Residual	risk	In the event of a b the River Can ma				nkments, flo	oding from	
	Flood wa	rning	The majority of the Chelmsford Flood					er, through	
Emergency planning	Access a egress	ınd	The main access and egress for the site is via Beach's Drive to to of the site. Flood Zones show safe access and egress would be a onto Beach's Drive in the 100-year (FZ3a) and 1,000-year (FZ2 events. Access and egress for the site is available up to and inclu 1,000-year surface water event.			e available Z2) fluvial			
	Climate of		River Bas	sin Dis	strict	Central	Higher Central	Upper End	
Climate Change	'2080s'		Anglian			25%	35%	65%	
	% of site a	at risk				98%	99%	99%	





	Site Name	Land rear of 17-37 Beach's Drive				
Site details	Area	0.67 hectares				
	Current land use	Brownfield				
	Implications for the site	Climate change scenarios show almost all of the site inundated in all three scenarios with only a small area along the north-eastern boundary outside of the extents.				
Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS for drainage		The underlying bedrock geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. The underlying superficial geology for parts of the site is Till. Depending on the proportion of the soil type encountered (e.g. clay, sand, gravel) the suitability of infiltration basins and devices may vary.				
control and impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.				
	Historic Landfill Site	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.				
	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Tes applied. The Exception Test will be required in the following scenarios If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located FZ3a. If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios Highly Vulnerable infrastructure within FZ3a.				
NPPF and planning implications Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment		 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or for any development greater than one hectare in Flood Zone 1. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. The sequential approach to site layout should be used to direct buildings towards Flood Zone 1. Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the River Can to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk 				





	Site Name		Land rear of 17-37 Beach's Drive		
Site details	Area		0.67 hectares		
	Current land use		Brownfield		
			 Creating space for flooding. 		
			Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigatio measures for surface water runoff from potential development.		
	Mapping Information				
Flood Zones			Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3. Flood Zone 3b is based on the 20-year results from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		
Climate change			The climate change allowances for the '2080's were modelled for the Level 1 SFR, using the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model for the purposes of the SFRA.		
Surface Water	Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Depth and velocity mapping		oing	Depth and velocity mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (defended) have been taken from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		





	Site Name	Lockside, Navigation Road						
Site details	Area	2.25 hectares	2.25 hectares					
Current land use		Brownfield						
	Existing drainage features	The main River Chell There is also a bran west boundary.						
			Proportion of	f site at ris	k			
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1		
	Fluvial	1%	56%	24%		19%		
Sources of		Flood risk to the site Zones. Areas in Flo from the watercourse	od Zone 1 are lo					
flood risk		Prop	portion of site	at risk (Ro	FfSW)			
		30-year	100-y	rear ear	1,000-	year		
	Surface Water	9%	189		459	_		
		Just under half the site is at risk from surface water flooding, much of which is in the west and through the centre of the site.						
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir failure.						
	Flood history	The south-eastern corner of the site falls within the extent of Environment Agency's recorded flood outline for 1947.				ent of the		
		Defence Type Standa			Cond	ition		
Flood risk	Defences		Protec	ction				
management		The site is not protected by any known formal flood defences.						
infrastructure								
	Residual risk	n/a						
Flood warning Emergency		Most of the site falls within the River Wid and Can Flood Alert Area and the River Can and Chelmer, through Chelmsford or the Riverside properties in Chelmsford, including the cricket ground Flood Warning Area.						
planning	Access and egress	Access and egress is via Byron Road which is unaffected by fluvial fl risk. However, surface water flood risk mapping does show Byron R to be at risk along its length, predominantly from a 1 in 100-year event higher.			yron Road			
	Climate change allowances for	River Basin	District	Central	Higher Central	Upper End		
Climate	'2080s'	Anglian		25%	35%	65%		
Change	% of site at risk			72%	74%	79%		
	Implications for the site	Climate change modelling suggests those areas of the site that currently fall within Flood Zone 2 could become Flood Zone 3 in the future.						





	Site Name	Lockside, Navigation Road			
Site details	Area	2.25 hectares			
	Current land use	Brownfield			
Requirements for drainage control and	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	The underlying bedrock geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. Detention features may be feasible providing site slopes are <5% at the location of the detention feature. All forms of conveyance features are likely to be suitable. Where slopes are >5%, features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows.			
impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.			
	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.				
	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required in the following scenarios If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a. If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios Highly Vulnerable infrastructure within FZ3a.			
NPPF and planning implications	At the planning application stage, Assessment will be required if any de Flood Zones 2 or 3 or for any dev hectare in Flood Zone 1. Other sou be considered. NPPF and planning As much of the site is within Flood 2 will be required on a level for level, will be required on a level for level,				





	Site Nan	ne	Lockside, Navigation Road		
Site details	details Area		2.25 hectares		
	Current	land use	Brownfield		
			 Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the River Chelmer to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff. 		
			Mapping Information		
Flood Zones			Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3. Flood Zone 3b is based on the 20-year results from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		
Climate change			The climate change allowances for the '2080's were modelled for the Level 1 SFRA using the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model for the purposes of the SFRA.		
Surface Water			The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Depth and velocity mapping		oing	Depth and velocity mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (defended) have been taken from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		





	Site Name	Navigation Road	Navigation Road					
Site details	Area	0.4 hectares						
	Current land use	Brownfield						
	Existing drainag features		The River Chelmer flows to the west of the site before turning south east flowing, approximately 90m away from the southern boundary of the site.					
			Pro	portion of	site at ris	k		
		FZ3b		FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1	
	Fluvial	0%		0%	14%		86%	
		Flood risk to the si			th small area	as along the	south west	
Sources of flood risk		Р	roporti	ion of site	at risk (Ro	FfSW)		
11000 TISK		30-year	_	100-у	ear	1,000-	year	
	Surface Water	<1%		<19	6	129	'	
			Surface water risk to the site is generally low, with a more prominent area in the north east of site at risk in the 1 in 1,000-year event.					
	Reservoir	The site is not at r	The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir failure.					
	Flood history	The site is outside	The site is outside of the Environment Agency's historic flood map.					
Flood risk	Defences	Defence Typ	е	Standard of Protection		Condi	ition	
management	Defences	-						
infrastructure		The site is not pro	The site is not protected by any known formal flood defences.					
	Residual risk	n/a						
	Flood warning	The southern portion of the site falls within the River Wid and Can Flood Alert Area and the River Can and Chelmer, through Chelmsford Flood Warning Area.						
Emergency planning	Access and egress	There are three possible access and egress routes for the si Road, Sandringham Place and the A1099. Both Navigation A1099 are shown to be in Flood Zone 2 and at risk from flooding. Sandringham Place is not at risk from fluvial fl shown to be at risk in a 1 in 1,000-year surface water flooding.				avigation Roarisk from surfort fisk from surfort	ad and the face water ling but is	
	Climate change allowances for	River Bas	in Dist	trict	Central	Higher Central	Upper End	
Climate	'2080s'	Anglian			25%	35%	65%	
Change	% of site at risk				0%	1%	12%	
	Implications for the site		Climate change modelling suggests that the area that is currently Flo Zone 2 may become Flood Zone 3 in the future.			ently Flood		





	Site Name	Navigation Road			
Site details	Area	0.4 hectares			
	Current land use	Brownfield			
Requirements for drainage control and	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	The underlying bedrock geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. Detention features may be feasible providing site slopes are <5% at the location of the detention feature. All forms of conveyance features are likely to be suitable. Where slopes are >5%, features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows.			
impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.			
	Historic Landfill Site	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.			
	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required if Highly Vulnerable development is in FZ2.			
NPPF and planning implications	Requirements and guidance for site- specific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zone 2 or for any development greater than one hectare in Flood Zone 1. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. Buildings should be situated away from the flood risk area. Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated; access should be situated 300mm above the design flood level and waterproof construction techniques used. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the River Chelmer to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development. 			





Site Name		Navigation Road			
Site details	Site details Area		0.4 hectares		
	Current land use		Brownfield		
Mapping Information					
Flood Zones			Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3. Flood Zone 3b is based on the 20-year results from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		
Climate change			The climate change allowances for the '2080's were modelled for the Level 1 SFR using the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model for the purposes of the SFRA.		
Surface Water			The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Depth and velocity mapping		oing	Depth and velocity mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (defended) have been taker from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		





	Site Name	North East Chelm	North East Chelmsford					
Site details	Area	373.13 hectares						
	Current land use	Predominantly Gr	eenfield					
	Existing drainag	e exiting a Boreham An unna site flow boundar approxin the A130 A very s very sou flows int of this, it to the so	site flows in a westerly direction towards the site's w boundary, flowing to its confluence with the River C approximately 700m to the west of the site, via a culvert the A130 and golf course.					
			•	n of site at ris	•			
	Fluvial	FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1			
Sources of		1%	<1%	<1%	99%			
flood risk		modelling was un flood extent of FZ of the unnamed w The small drain si site and another	No detailed hydraulic modelling is available, therefore 2D generalised modelling was undertaken. Fluvial flood risk is deemed low, with a small flood extent of FZ2 and 3 running north to south in the immediate vicinity of the unnamed watercourse which flows through the centre of site. The small drain shown on the OS mapping in the north-west corner of the site and another in the south east corner were not well defined in the ground level data and was unable to be modelled.					
		_	Proportion of site at risk (RoFfSW)					
		30-year	-	0-year	1,000-year			
	0	1%		3%	8%			
	Surface Water	continue to increa	Isolated pockets of surface water develop in the 30-year event. These continue to increase in number and size in the 100-year and 1,000-year events. Most of the site however is at very low risk of surface water flooding.					
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir failure.						
	Flood history	The site is outside	The site is outside of the Environment Agency's historic flood map.					
Flood risk	Defences	Defence Typ		ndard of otection	Condition			
management infrastructure		- ,						
minasiructure		The site is not pro	otected by any ki	iown formai fioo	d defences.			
	Residual risk	The site is not pro	tected by any ki	nown formal floo	d defences.			





	Site Name	North East Chelmsford				
Site details	Area	373.13 hectares				
	Current land use	Predominantly Greenfield				
Emergency planning	Access and egress The site is surrounded by numerous roads including: Belsteads Farm Lane Pratts Farm Lane Essex Regiment way Wheeler's Hill Leighs Road Domsey Lane, and Generals Lane In the 1,000-year fluvial event/ Flood Zone 2, all the roads and pote points of access and egress for the site are shown to be outside of Flood Zone 2 extent. In the 1,000-year surface water event safe access and egress is show still be available via Wheeler's Hill, Domsey Lane and Pratts Farm L However, the impact of surface water on the surrounding road net may limit evacuation beyond the immediate vicinity of the site.			side of the s shown to arm Lane.		
	Climate change allowances for	River Basin District	Central	Higher Central	Upper End	
Climate	'2080s'	Anglian	25%	35%	65%	
Change	% of site at risk	1% 1% 1%				
	Implications for the site	Extents on the site increase slightly in the climate change events with th 65% Upper End scenario, closely resembling the extents of the currer Flood Zone 2.				
Requirements					reas. The the depth	
for drainage control and impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.				
	Historic Landfill Site	There are two historic landfill sites within the site boundary. These are Belsteads Farm and Back Lane, and they are both located in the south west of the site. Where landfill is present, infiltration SuDS techniques will be unsuitable and alternative SuDS systems utilised in these areas should incorporate a liner to reduce the risk of contamination.				





	Site Name	North East Chelmsford		
Site details	Area	373.13 hectares		
	Current land use	Predominantly Greenfield		
	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception applied. The Exception Test will be required in the following scenarios If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is loc FZ3a. If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2. If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios Highly Vulnerable infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b.		
NPPF and planning implications	Requirements and guidance for sitespecific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Assessment will be required if any development is located Flood Zone 2, 3 or for any development greater than one h in Flood Zone 1. Other sources of flooding should all considered. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environ Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. Buildings should be situated away from the flood risk area Detailed hydraulic modelling utilising channel topographic amay need to be undertaken on the unnamed watercourse flow through the site, in order to confirm flood risk. The flood risk from other waterbodies on site (i.e. ponds) also be considered. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested again hydrographs of the Boreham Brook and River Chelmer to each of the stage of the sta		
		Mapping Information		
Flood Zones		Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on Environment Agency's Flood Zone are no data was available generalised 2D hydraulic modelling was under provide Flood Zones 2 and 3a. The SFRA has identified Flood Zone 3b which would flood with an annual probability of 1 in 20 years. Flood Zone 3b has been derived from generalised 2D hydraulic mundertaken for this SFRA. Due to the generalised nature of the modelling, that have not been accounted for and therefore mapping shows the 'und scenario.	taken to as land nodelling, defences	

scenario.





Site Name		North East Chelmsford			
Site details	Area		373.13 hectares		
Current land us		land use	Predominantly Greenfield		
Climate change		The climate change allowances for the '2080's epoch were modelled using generalised 2D modelling techniques for the purposes of the SFRA. Due to the generalised nature of the modelling, defences have not been accounted for and therefore mapping shows the 'undefended' scenario. The mapping provides a strategic assessment of climate change risk – developers should undertake detailed modelling of climate change allowances as part of a site-specific FRA.			
Surface Water	Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Depth and velocity mapping		Depth and velocity mapping for the 1 in 1 derived from the generalised 2D modellir modelling, any defences which may be p therefore mapping shows the 'undefende strategic assessment of flood depths and detailed modelling as part of a site-specific	resent have not been accounted for and ed scenario. The mapping provides a d velocities; developers should undertake		





	Site Nan	ne	North of South Woodham Ferrers					
Site details	Area		121.4 hectares					
	Current	land use	Greenfield					
	Existing features	drainage	The Fen Brook flows north to south through the western portion It is classed as Main River along this reach. A branch of the flows north east to south west through the site. It is classed Watercourse along much of its length, becoming Main River of the B1418.					Fen Brook as Ordinary
			_	Pr	oportion of	site at ris	k	
			FZ3b		FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1
			0%		<1%	<1%		99%
Sources of flood risk	Fluvial		Flood risk from the Fen Brook is relatively small; water only gets out of bank in the 100-year event (Flood Zone 3a) but the extent only increases slightly in the 1,000-year event (Flood Zone 2). The Fen Brook flows under Burnham Road at the site boundary; blockage of this culvert could increase levels upstream potentially resulting in overtopping of the banks and flooding of the site.					
			Pro	opor	tion of site	at risk (Ro	FfSW)	
			30-year		100-у	ear	1,000	-year
	Surface	Surface Water	9%		16%		35%	
			Surface water flood risk largely corresponds to the river corridors, with a few small areas of ponding.					
	Reservo	ir	The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir failure.					ure.
	Flood hi	story	South Woodham Ferrers flooded in 1953; however, the site is outside of the historic flood map extent for this event. There are no known past flooding events affecting the site.					outside of
	Defences		Defence Type)	Standard of Protection		Cond	ition
Flood risk			-		-		-	
management infrastructure			The site is not protected by any known formal flood defences.					
	Residua	l risk	n/a					
	Flood wa	arning	The site is outside of the Environment Agency's Flood W coverage.			Flood Warni	ng Service	
Emergency planning Access egress		and	There are several options for access and egress for the site including Burnham Road, Willow Grove and the B1418. All these routes are unaffected by fluvial flooding. However, surface water mapping shows the routes are at risk with depths ranging from below 300mm to 900mm.				routes are shows the	
	Climate allowand		River Basi	n Dis	strict	Central	Higher Central	Upper End
Climate	'2080s'		Anglian			25%	35%	65%
Change	% of site	at risk					negligible	
	Implicati	ions for	Modelling shows the increase in fluvia negligible, with increased flows remain					e change is





	Site Name	North of South Woodham Ferrers					
Site details	Area	121.4 hectares					
	Current land use	Greenfield					
Requirements for drainage control and	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	The underlying geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. Detention features may be feasible providing site slopes are <5% at the location of the detention feature. All forms of conveyance features are likely to be suitable. Where slopes are >5%, features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows.					
impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.					
	Historic Landfill Site	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.					
	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required if Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.					
NPPF and planning implications	Requirements and guidance for site- specific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zone 2 or for any development greater than one hectare in Flood Zone 1. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. Investigation of the flood risk from the branch of the Fen Brook will be required as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment. The implication of blockage of the Burnham Road culvert on flood risk in the site should be considered. Climate change modelling should also be undertaken for the branch of the Fen Brook using the relevant allowances for the type of development and level of risk. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the Fen Brook and branch of the Fen Brook to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff 					





	Site Name	North of South Woodham Ferrers			
Site details Area		121.4 hectares			
	Current land	se Greenfield			
		 Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development. 			
	Mapping Information				
Flood Zones		Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3. Flood Zone 3b is based on the 20-year results from the Environment Agency's Rettendon Brook detailed hydraulic model.			
Climate change		The upper end climate change allowances for the '2080's were modelled using the Environment Agency's Rettendon Brook detailed hydraulic model for the purposes of the SFRA.			
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.			
Depth and velocity mapping		Depth and velocity mapping is not available for the Fen Brook as the detailed model is a 1D only model.			





	Site Name		Peninsula Wharf I	Road				
Site details	Area		4.1 hectares					
	Current lan	d use	Brownfield					
	Existing drafeatures	ainage	The River Chelmer flows along the southern boundary of the site. The River Can has its confluence with the River Chelmer just upstream of the site. There is potential for interaction between these two watercourses that could result in flooding to the site; the risk could come from both or just one of the watercourses. Springfield Lock is located at the eastern boundary of the site.					
				Р	roportion of	site at ris	k	
			FZ3b		FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1
	Fluvial		12%		27%	61%		0%
Sources of			Flood risk to the s whilst Flood Zone					
flood risk					tion of site			
			30-year		100-у	ear	1,000-	year
	Surface Wa	iter	<1%		3%)	219	%
		Surface water flood risk is low for events of 1 in 100-year and under. In the 1 in 1,000-year event, just under a quarter of the site is at risk. Most of the risk is along the site boundaries.						
	Reservoir		The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir for			reservoir failu	ıre.	
	Flood histo	ry	The entire site falls within the 1947 flood extent in the E Agency's Historic Flood Map.				vironment	
Flood viols	Defences		Defence Typ	е	Standa Protec		Cond	ition
Flood risk management			-		-		-	
infrastructure		The site is not protected by any known formal flood defences.						
	Residual ris	sk	n/a					
Emergency	Flood warning Emergency		Most the site falls within the River Wid and Can Flood Alert Area and the River Can and Chelmer, through Chelmsford or the Riverside properties in Chelmsford, including the cricket ground Flood Warning Areas.					
planning	Access and egress	i	The main access and egress route for risk from flooding.		r the site is	Wharf Road	which is at	
	Climate cha		River Bas	in Di	strict	Central	Higher Central	Upper End
	'2080s'		Anglian			25%	35%	65%
Climate Change	% of site at r	isk				74%	77%	81%
Shange	Implication the site	s for	Climate change n currently in Flood small dry island in by flooding.	Zone:	2 will become	Flood Zone	3a in the futu	re. Only a





	Site Name	Peninsula Wharf Road		
Site details	Area	4.1 hectares		
	Current land use	Brownfield		
Requirements for drainage	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	The underlying bedrock geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. The underlying superficial geology for a small area to the south of the site is Till. Depending on the proportion of the soil type encountered (e.g. clay, sand, gravel) the suitability of infiltration basins and devices may vary.		
control and impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.		
	Historic Landfill Site	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.		
	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required in the following scenarios If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a. If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2. If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios Highly Vulnerable infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b.		
NPPF and planning implications	Requirements and guidance for site- specific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or for any development greater than one hectare in Flood Zone 1. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. As a large proportion of the site is within Flood Zone 3, flood compensation will be required on a level-for-level, volume-for-volume basis for any proposed loss of floodplain. Therefore, land within the vicinity and outside the proposed site will be required for flood compensation. Prospects for effective mitigation would need to be established before taking the site forward. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. Given the level of risk, single storey buildings and the use of basements should be avoided. Resilience measures will be required if buildings are placed within the risk areas. Finished floor levels should be set a minimum of 600mm above the 1 in 100-year plus climate change peak flood level. Allocating the ground floor of a building for less vulnerable, non-residential, use is an effective way of raising living space above flood levels. 		





	Site Name	Peninsula Wharf Road			
Site details	Area	4.1 hectares			
	Current land use	Brownfield			
		 Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated; ac should be situated 300mm above the design flood level waterproof construction techniques used. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested agains hydrographs of the River Chelmer to ensure flows are exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source co SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low im flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for clin change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigameasures for surface water runoff. 			
		Mapping Information			
Flood Zones		Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3. Flood Zone 3b is based on the 20-year results from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.			
Climate change		The climate change allowances for the '2080's were modelled for the Level 1 SFRA using the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model for the purposes of the SFRA.			
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.			
Depth and velo	ocity mapping	Depth and velocity mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (defended) have been taken from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.			





		T						
	Site Name	Rivermead, Cheln	Rivermead, Chelmsford					
Site details	Area	1.6 hectares						
	Current land use	Brownfield						
	Existing drainage features	The site is surrounded by the River Chelmer. There is also an offs from the River Chelmer that crosses through the centre of the site.						
			Р	roportion of	site at ris	k		
		FZ3b		FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1	
	Fluvial	6%		42%	52%		0%	
Sources of		The site is at signi Although the site i of model resolution	s shown	wn to be in Flo d size and the	ood Zone 3b watercourse	e may remain		
flood risk		Pi	ropor	tion of site	at risk (Ro	FfSW)		
		30-year		100-у	ear	1,000-	year	
	Surface Water	0%		3%)	8%	o O	
		Surface water flood risk to the site is relatively low, mainly located along the site boundaries.						
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir failure.					ıre.	
	Flood history	The entire site falls within the 1947 flood extent in the Environment Agency's Historic Flood Map.						
Floodwich		Defence Typ	е	Standa Protec		Condi	tion	
Flood risk management	Defences				-			
infrastructure		The site is not protected by any known formal flood defences.						
	Residual risk	n/a						
Emergency	Flood warning	The site is covered by the Upper River Chelmer Flood Alert Area an River Chelmer from Great Dunmow to Rivermead campus and Indu Estate in Chelmsford Flood Warning Area.						
planning	Access and egress	The main access and egress route for In the immediate vicinity of the site t flooding.						
	Climate change allowances for	River Bas	in Di	strict	Central	Higher Central	Upper End	
Climate	'2080s'	Anglian			25%	35%	65%	
Change	% of site at risk				61%	81%	98%	
	Implications for the site	Climate change modelling shows a significant impact on the level of r at the site with 61% to 98% of the site in Flood Zone 3 compared to t present day (42%).						





	Cita Nama	Discourse and Object and				
	Site Name	Rivermead, Chelmsford				
Site details	Area	1.6 hectares				
	Current land use	Brownfield				
Requirements for drainage	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	The underlying bedrock geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. The underlying superficial geology for parts of the site is Till. Depending on the proportion of the soil type encountered (e.g. clay, sand, gravel) the suitability of infiltration basins and devices may vary.				
control and impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.				
	Historic Landfill Site	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.				
	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required in the following scenarios If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a. If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2. If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios Highly Vulnerable infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b.				
NPPF and planning implications	Requirements and guidance for site- specific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or for any development greater than one hectare in Flood Zone 1. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. Given a large area of the site is within Flood Zone 3. floodplain compensation will be required on a level-for-level, volume-for-volume basis for any proposed loss of floodplain. Therefore, land within the vicinity and outside the proposed site will be required for flood compensation. Prospects for effective mitigation would need to be established before taking the site forward. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. Given the level of risk, single storey buildings and the use of basements should be avoided. Resilience measures will be required if buildings are placed within the risk areas. Finished floor levels should be set a minimum of 600mm above the 1 in 100-year plus climate change peak flood level. Allocating the ground floor of a building for less vulnerable, non-residential, use is an effective way of raising living space above flood levels. 				





	Site Name	Rivermead, Chelmsford			
Site details	Area	1.6 hectares			
	Current land use	Brownfield			
		 Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated; access should be situated 300mm above the design flood level and waterproof construction techniques used. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the River Chelmer to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff. 			
		Mapping Information			
Flood Zones		Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3. Flood Zone 3b is based on the 20-year results from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.			
Climate change		The climate change allowances for the '2080's were modelled for the Level 1 SFRA using the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model for the purposes of the SFRA.			
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.			
Depth and velo	ocity mapping	Depth and velocity mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (defended) have been taken from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.			





	Site Name	Riverside Ice and	Riverside Ice and Leisure				
Site details	Area	1.1 hectares	1.1 hectares				
	Current land use	Brownfield	Brownfield				
	Existing drainage features	The River Chelmothe site.	er flow	s north to sout	th along the	south-east be	oundary of
			Р	roportion of	site at ris	k	
		FZ3b		FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1
	Fluvial	<1%		51%	49%		0%
		The fluvial flood r 3 or 2.	isk to t	he site is high	with all the	site within Flo	ood Zones
Sources of		P	ropor	tion of site	at risk (Ro	FfSW)	
flood risk		30-year		100-у	ear	1,000-	year
	Surface Water	23%		42%	6	599	%
			Surface water flood risk to the site is high, with over half the site at risk in the 1 in 1,000-year event; this risk is predominantly located in the southern half of the site.				
	Reservoir	The site is not at	The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir failure.				
	Flood history	The south-western boundary is adjacent to the extent of the Environment Agency's recorded flood outline for 1947.					
		Defence Typ	Defence Type Standa Protect			Condi	ition
Flood risk management	Defences	-				-	
infrastructure		The site is not protected by any known formal flood defences.					
	Residual risk	n/a					
	Flood warning	The site falls within the River Wid and Can Flood Alert Area and the River Can and Chelmer, through Chelmsford or the Riverside properties in					
_		Chelmsford, including the cricket ground Flood Warning Area.					
Emergency planning	Access and egress	The only access and egress route for the site is along Waterloo which is shown to be in the Flood Zones. The road is also shown to risk from surface water flooding in events as low as the 1 in 30-year of This suggests there may be potential access and egress issues in till flood.			vn to be at ear event.		
	Climate change allowances for	River Bas	sin Di	strict	Central	Higher Central	Upper End
Climate	'2080s'	Anglian	Anglian		25%	35%	65%
Change	% of site at risk				71%	73%	76%
	Implications for the site	increase in the fut	Climate change modelling suggests the increase in the future. Although the exterm it is likely that the depth, velocity and haze			ot increase si	gnificantly,





	Site Name	Riverside Ice and Leisure			
Site details	Area	1.1 hectares			
	Current land use	Brownfield			
Requirements for drainage	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	The underlying bedrock geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. The underlying superficial geology for parts of the site is Till. Depending on the proportion of the soil type encountered (e.g. clay, sand, gravel) the suitability of infiltration basins and devices may vary.			
control and impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.			
	Historic Landfill Site	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.			
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required in the following scenarios If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a. If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios Highly Vulnerable infrastructure within FZ3a.			
	Requirements and guidance for site- specific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. As much of the site is within Flood Zone 3 flood compensation will be required on a level-for-level, volume-for-volume basis for any proposed loss of floodplain. Therefore, land within the vicinity and outside the proposed site will be required for flood compensation. Prospects for effective mitigation would need to be established before taking the site forward Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. Given the level of risk, single storey buildings and the use of basements should be avoided. Resilience measures will be required if buildings are placed within the risk areas. Finished floor levels should be set a minimum of 600mm above the 1 in 100-year plus climate change peak flood level. Allocating the ground floor of a building for less vulnerable, non-residential, use is an effective way of raising living space above flood levels. Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated; access should be situated 300mm above the design flood level and waterproof construction techniques used. 			





	Site Name	Riverside Ice and Leisure			
Site details Area		1.1 hectares			
	Current land use	Brownfield			
		 Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the River Chelmer to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development. 			
		Mapping Information			
Flood Zones		Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3. Flood Zone 3b is based on the 20-year results from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.			
Climate change		The climate change allowances for the '2080's were modelled for the Level 1 SFRA using the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model for the purposes of the SFRA.			
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.			
Depth and velo	ocity mapping	Depth and velocity mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (defended) have been taken from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.			





	Site Name		Travis Perkins Navigation Road						
Site details	Area		0.9 hectares						
	Current land us	e	Brownfield						
	Existing draina	ge	The main River Chelmer flows approximately 2km to the south of the site. There is also a branch of the watercourse that is adjacent to the south west boundary.						
			Proportion of site at risk						
			FZ3b		FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1	
	Fluvial				64%		17%		
			Fluvial flood risk to the site is high, with only the north-east corner of the site outside of the Flood Zones.						
Sources of flood risk			Proportion of site at risk (RoFfSW)						
11000 113K			30-year		100-у	ear	1,000-	year	
	Surface Water		2%	-				%	
			Surface water flood risk consists of a flow path through the centre of the site. Much of the risk is from a 1 in 1,000-year event.						
	Reservoir		The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir failure.						
	Flood history		The south-western boundary borders the extent of the Environment Agency's recorded flood outline for 1947.						
			Defence Typ	е	Standard of		Condition		
Flood risk	Defences			Protection					
management		The site is not protected by any known formal flood defences.							
infrastructure									
	Residual risk		n/a						
Emergency planning	Flood warning		Most the site falls within the River Wid and Can Flood Alert Area and the River Can and Chelmer, through Chelmsford or the Riverside properties in Chelmsford, including the cricket ground Flood Warning Areas.				properties		
	Access and egress		The main access and egress route for the site is Navigation Road; this road is at risk of flooding between the site and the A1099. However, safe access and egress can be provided in the opposite direction towards Byron Road.						
Climate Change	Climate change allowances for		River Basin District		Central	Higher Central	Upper End		
	'2080s'	Anglian		25%	35%	65%			
	% of site at risk	of site at risk				4%	55%	80%	
	Implications for the site	r	Climate change modelling suggests those areas of the site that currently fall within Flood Zone 2 could become Flood Zone 3 in the future.						





	Site Name	Travis Perkins Navigation Road			
Site details	Area	0.9 hectares			
	Current land use	Brownfield			
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	The underlying bedrock geology of the site is Thames Group (Londo Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the dependent to the water table. Detention features may be feasible providing site slopes are <5% at the location of the detention feature. All forms of conveyance features are likely to be suitable. Where slope are >5%, features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slope flows.			
	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.			
	Historic Landfill Site	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.			
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	 The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required in the following scenarios If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a. If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2. If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios Highly Vulnerable infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b. 			
	Requirements and guidance for site- specific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or for any development greater than one hectare in Flood Zone 1. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. Given the level of risk, single storey buildings and the use of basements should be avoided. Resilience measures will be required if buildings are placed within the risk areas. Finished floor levels should be set a minimum of 600mm above the 1 in 100-year plus climate change peak flood level. Allocating the ground floor of a building for less vulnerable, non-residential, use is an effective way of raising living space above flood levels. Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated; access should be situated 300mm above the design flood level and waterproof construction techniques used. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the River Chelmer to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. 			





	Site Name	Travis Perkins Navigation Road		
Site details	Area	0.9 hectares		
	Current land use	Brownfield		
		 New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff. 		
Mapping Information				
Flood Zones		Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3. Flood Zone 3b is based on the 20-year results from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		
Climate change		The climate change allowances for the '2080's were modelled for the Level 1 SFRA using the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model for the purposes of the SFRA.		
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Depth and velocity mapping		Depth and velocity mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (defended) have been taken from the Environment Agency's River Chelmer detailed hydraulic model.		





	Site Nan	ne	West Chelmsford						
Site details	Area		45.6 hectares						
	Current	land use	Greenfield						
	Existing features	drainage	One Bridge Brook, Chignall flows along the eastern boundary of the site.						
			Proportion of site at risk						
			FZ3b		FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1	
	Fluvial		0% 4% 1% 95%						
		Fluvial flood risk is low, with a small area along the eastern site boundary in Flood Zones 2 and 3. There is only a small difference between the Flood Zone 3 and 2 extents suggesting a confined floodplain in this area.							
Sources of			Pı	roport	tion of site a	at risk (uFI	MFSW)		
flood risk			30-year		100-у	ear	1,000-	year	
	Curtoso	Water	1%		1%)	49	, 0	
	Surface Water	Surface water flood risk is low, mainly confined to the eastern site boundary. There is a small flow path from the centre of the site flowing eastwards to the Brook. There is also a small area of ponding in the southwest corner.							
	Reservo	oir	The site is not at risk of inundation in the event of reservoir failure.						
	Flood hi	istory	The site is outside of the Environment Agency's historic flood map.				пар.		
Flood viols	Defences	Defence Typ	е	Standard of Protection		Condition			
Flood risk management									
infrastructure		The site is not protected by any known formal flood defences.							
	Residua	ıl risk	n/a						
	Flood w	arning	The eastern edge of the site falls within the River Wid and Can Flood Alert Area. It is not covered by any Flood Warning Area.						
Emergency planning	Access egress	and	The main access and egress for the site is Roxwell Road. Flood Zones show the road at risk in Flood Zone 2 and 3; however, the Flood Zones in these areas are from 2D modelling and are unlikely to accurately represent the flow through the culverts under the road.						
Climate Change	Climate change allowances for '2080s'		River Bas	sin Di	strict	Central	Higher Central	Upper End	
		Anglian			25%	35%	65%		
	% of site	at risk				Unknown			
	Implicat the site	ions for	Flood Zones in this area are original 2D (Jflow) modelling; therefore, there are no climate change outputs in this area. However, modelling undertaken for the other watercourses around Chelmsford show a general trend of the Upper End allowance extents being broadly similar to the extent of Flood Zone 2 in undefended areas. Therefore, it would be a reasonable assumption that the Upper End allowance would result in a similar flood extent as Flood Zone 2 for the site, affected the eastern boundary.						





	Site Name	West Chelmsford			
Site details	Area	45.6 hectares			
	Current land use	Greenfield			
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	The underlying bedrock geology of the site is Thames Group (London Clay). This may result in poor infiltration capacity in some areas. The suitability of infiltration basins and devices will be dependent on the depth to the water table. The underlying superficial geology for parts of the site is Till. Depending on the proportion of the soil type encountered (e.g. clay, sand, gravel) the suitability of infiltration basins and devices may vary.			
	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.			
	Historic Landfill Site	There is no historic landfill within the site boundary.			
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will be required in the following scenarios If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a. If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2. If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios Highly Vulnerable infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b.			
	Requirements and guidance for site- specific Flood Risk Assessment	 At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or for any development greater than one hectare in Flood Zone 1. Other sources of flooding should also be considered. A detailed model of the One Bridge Brook may be required to define Flood Zone 3b, as well as the impact of climate change in the future. The implication of blockage of the Roxwell Road culvert on flood risk in the site may need to be considered. Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage. The sequential approach to site layout should be used to direct buildings towards Flood Zone 1. Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the hydrographs of the One Bridge Brook to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. 			





	Site Name	West Chelmsford				
Site details Area		45.6 hectares				
	Current land use	Greenfield				
		New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by				
Flood Zones		Flood Zones 2 and 3a are based on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3.				
Climate change		There is no detailed model in this area (Flood Zones are from original 2D (Jflow) modelling); therefore, there are no climate change outputs in this area.				
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.				
Depth and velocity mapping		There is no detailed model in this area (Flood Zones are from original 2D (Jflow) modelling); therefore, there are no depth, hazard or velocity outputs in this area.				





























































































